**Multiple Representations Assignment Scoring Rubric**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **4** | **3** | **2** | **1** |
| **Prerequisite Knowledge** | demonstrates anexcellent understanding of the pre-requisite knowledge for the key concept and makes clear connections between the knowledge and the key concept | demonstrates anunderstanding of the pre-requisite knowledge for the key concept and makes connections between this knowledge and the key concept | demonstrates someunderstanding of the pre-requisite knowledge for the key concept and makes limited connections between this knowledge and the key concept | demonstrates littleunderstanding of the pre-requisite knowledge for the key concept and does not make connections between this knowledge and the key concept |
| **Concrete Materials** | demonstrates an excellent ability to use a variety of concrete materials appropriately and correctly, gives clear and detailed explanations of each manipulative with accompanying models | demonstrates an ability to use a variety of concrete materials appropriately and correctly, gives clear and detailed explanations of each manipulative with accompanying models | demonstrates some ability to use a limited variety of concrete materials appropriately and correctly, gives a partially complete explanation of each manipulative with accompanying models | demonstrates a limited ability to use concrete materials appropriately and correctly, gives partial or no explanations of each manipulative, possibly without accompanying models |
| **Collection of Activities, Problems, and Investigations** | the resources *strongly* connect to the key concept and direct a range of *rich* mathematical activity (content andprocesses) | the resources connect to the key concept and direct a range of *rich* mathematical activity (content andprocesses) | the resources either connect to the key concept or direct a range of *rich* mathematical activity, but not both | the connectionsbetween the resources and the key concept is loose, and they do not engage a range ofmathematicalprocesses |
| **Relational Explanation** | Includes more than one clear and detailed relational explanation that demonstrates a deep understanding of the rules behind the reasons | Includes one clear and detailed relational explanation that demonstrates a deep understanding of the rules behind the reasons | Includes one relational explanation that demonstrates some understanding of the rules behind the reasons | Includes one relational explanation that demonstrates little to no understanding of the rules behind the reasons |
| **Algorithms** | Presents a wide variety of possible algorithms with clear explanations of each strategy, demonstrating a clear understanding of the values of alternative algorithms | Presents a several possible algorithms with clear explanations of each strategy, demonstrating a good understanding of the values of alternative algorithms | Presents limited possible algorithms with some explanations of each strategy, demonstrating a some understanding of the values of alternative algorithms | Presents no alternative algorithms without explanations, demonstrating little to understanding of the values of alternative algorithms |
| **Depth and breadth of exploration** | demonstrates anexcellent understanding of the key concept and demonstrates evidence of extensive research related to the key concept | demonstrates a verygood understanding of the key concept and demonstrates evidence of a good amount of research related to the key concept | demonstrates someunderstanding of the key concept and demonstrates minimal evidence of research related to the key concept | demonstrates a lack of understanding of the key concept and demonstrates little to no evidence of research related to the key concept |
| **Communication of ideas**  | engaging andknowledgeablepresentation of thekey concept and of theaccompanying writing | solid and clearpresentation of thekey concept and of theaccompanying writing | some aspects of thepresentation of thekey concept and theaccompanying writing showed professionalism | the presentation of the key concept and theaccompanying writing lacked professionalism |